

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
	Date of Meeting	9 th February 2021		
1.	Attendees and apologies			
	Present:	Councillor Sven Hocking (SH) – Wiltshire Council – Chair Councillor Atiqul Hoque (AH) – Wiltshire Council Councillor John Walsh (JW) – Wiltshire Council Councillor John Farquhar (JF) – Salisbury City Council Trudi Deane (TD) – Laverstock, Ford & Old Sarum Parish Council Patricia Podger (PP) – Elizabeth House Margaret Willmot (MW) – Salisbury Area Greenspace P/Ship Pam Rouquette (PR) – Salisbury Walking Forum Lynne Davies (LD) – Salisbury City Council Graham Axtell (GX) – Wiltshire Council Marc Read (MR) – Wiltshire Council Paul Shaddock (PS) – Wiltshire Council		
	Apologies:	Peter Durnan (PD) – Cycle Opportunities Group Salisbury David Bradley (DB) – Salisbury City Council		



2.	Notes of last meeting			
		The minutes of the previous meeting held were agreed at the Salisbury Area Board meeting on the 3 rd December 2020.		
		The Salisbury Area Board minutes can be found at:		
		https://cms.wiltshire.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?Cld=168&M ld=13218&Ver=4		
3.	Financial Position			
		Current financial position - £10,600.00 still unallocated.		
4.	Top 5 Priority Schemes			
a)	Issue No: 6111 Safety concern regarding cyclists exiting path adjacent to St. George's Church onto Buttercup Close	Ringway have programmed works to take place during week commencing 22/02/21.	That the Area Board notes the update.	PS
b)	Issue No: 6204 Request for the introduction of measures to stop fly tipping from occurring in Gradidge Lane	the process of being drafted. It is hoped that a decision on the		PS



c)	Issue No: 7134 Provision of cycle route directional signage from Bishopdown to Old Sarum	Ringway have programmed works to take place during week commencing 22/02/21.	That the Area Board notes the update.	PS
d)	Issue No: 12-20-2 The provision of additional cycle parking stands in Salisbury City Centre.	The funding the council bid for from the Swindon and Wiltshire Local Enterprise Partnership (SWLEP) to provide a package of measures to compliment the introduction of the now defunct Low Traffic Zone in Salisbury City Centre was rejected. Therefore, additional cycle parking stands in Salisbury City Centre will be provided using the £977.39 previously allocated by this group for this work. Locations of the additional stands to be agreed with COGS and works ordered.	That the Area Board notes the update.	PS
e)	Waiting Restriction Requests	Draft TRO schedules dealing with the waiting restriction requests received during 2018 and 2019 were sent to the TRO Team on the 28/01/21. The TRO Team will undertake the necessary legal work and prepare the TRO advert for the formal consultation period. Salisbury City Council (SCC) have considered the waiting restriction requests received during 2020 and provided details of the requests they support to Wiltshire Council. A summary of the requests is attached as Appendix 1 . If the group wish for the waiting restriction requests received in 2020 and supported by SCC to be taken forward, they could be added into the aforementioned TROs schedules. The group has already allocated £4000 towards the implementation of the 2018 and 2019 waiting restriction requests. To implement the 2020 waiting restriction requests alongside the others would require an additional £1,000 of funding to cover the cost of the onground works.	undertake the TRO amendment requested in Endless Street.	PS



5.	Other Priority schemes			
a)	Amendments to Replacement Bus Shelters	Funding to replace the remaining three Shelterstore shelters will be made available from the council's Integrated Transport budget during the 2021/2022 financial year. No further work to take place on this project until the 2021/2022 financial year.		PS
b)	Maintenance of Street Nameplates	The Salisbury Area Board awarded a grant application of £12,000 towards the works to replace street nameplates in the Harnham and St. Mark's and Bishopdown wards (and the Cedar Close sign in the Bemerton ward). The cost of undertaking the works outlined would be £13,348.99. In discussion with SH as the Chair of the CATG it was agreed to delay the implementation of the proposed improved street nameplates in Fison Walk. This would bring the cost of the proposed works down to £12287.92. It was also agreed with SH to allocate £758 from the CATG budget to cover the costs above the grant allocation of £12,000 and provide a small contingency. A works order to supply and install the replacement street nameplates was issued to Ringway on the 25/01/21. It is expected that the works will begin on the ground in mid-March 2021. The other street nameplates identified as needing replacing but not allocated funding during the current financial year will be put forward for funding in the upcoming financial year. A decision also needs to be made on whether the group wishes to allocate funding to allow further street nameplate repainting works to be undertaken in the 2021/2022 financial year.	picked up. That the Area Board approves the allocation of £1500 to allow this work to be undertaken.	SH/PS



		TD advised the group that she had submitted a list of street	That the Area Board approves the allocation of £3945 to allow this	
		nameplates in the Bishopdown Farm area that required attention to SH and PS and she hoped they could be addressed when	work to be undertaken.	
		funding allowed.		
c)	Issue No: 12-20-4	As previously advised the introduction of contraflow cycling in Meadow Road and Coldharbour Lane broadly meets the criteria	Discussions focused around the safety of allowing cyclists to travel	PS
	Request to allow contraflow	its introduction set out in Local Transport Note (LTN) 1/20 Cycle	along Meadow Road and	
	cycling along Meadow Road	Infrastructure Design.	Coldharbour Lane in contraflow	
	and Coldharbour Lane in		and in particular the visibility of	
	Salisbury.	To introduce contraflow cycling in Meadow Road and	cyclists travelling in contraflow to	
		Coldharbour Lane would require the introduction of a TRO to formally allow cyclists to travel in contraflow. To address safety	motorists turning into the roads.	
		concerns around allowing cyclists to travel along the roads in	A majority of the group felt that the	
		contraflow triangular cycle warning signs with the	street lighting assessment should	
		supplementary cycles crossing plate would need to be erected	be funded to enable the design of	
		in Ashley Road (x2), Avon, Terrace, Clifton Road, Gas Lane, Marsh Lane and James Street. The proposed signs are 740mm	this scheme to be taken forward.	
		(w) x 1065mm (h). An example of the sign is attached as	That the Area Board approves the	
		Appendix 2 The base of the signs must be a minimum of 2.1	allocation of £1250 to allow this	
		metres above ground level. To accommodate these signs (and	work to be undertaken.	
		minimise sign clutter as required by the DfT) it would require a	Cll and All paked that their	
		significant number of changes to existing sign assemblies, including illuminated sign assemblies, and the provision of new	SH and AH asked that their opposition to the introduction of	
		signposts.	contraflow cycling along Meadow	
		- o.g. 1poo.o.	Road and Coldharbour Lane on	
		If the group wished to proceed with these proposals a formal	road safety ground be formally	
		street lighting assessment must be undertaken by the council's	recorded.	
		consultants Atkins to determine the impact on illuminated sign		
		assemblies and the changes required to them to accommodate		
		the proposed additional signage. The estimated cost of the		
		street lighting assessment is £1,250.		



d)	Issue No: 12-20-5	A meeting was held in November 2020 to discuss ongoing concerns around the increased use of Milford Mill Road by	That the Area Board notes the update.	PS
	Request to address local	vehicular traffic and its continued use by HGVs.	upuale.	
	concerns around an increased	,		
	use of Milford Mill Road by	1 ' '		
	vehicular traffic and its	3 for information. Investigations into the possible courses of		
	continued use by HGVs.	action identified at that meeting are ongoing.		
e)	Various improvements to the Bishopdown Estate	The report from the defunct Bishopdown Residents Association (previously circulated) has been considered in detail and a summary of the issues raised and possible courses of action and the teams that would be responsible for taking those actions forward identified. A copy of the summary document is attached at Appendix 4 .	The group acknowledged the large number of actions arising from the resident's association report and supported a meeting taking place outside of the CATG to determine a way forward.	PS
		The proposed next course of action is for a meeting to take place between representatives of the teams identified and the local elected members to try and determine a way forward to address these issues.	SH felt that it would be good if some of the actions could be addressed and proposed that the group funded the provision of the driveway protection (white bar) markings at the locations identified in the report. The group supported this proposal.	
			That the Area Board approves the allocation of £500 to allow this work to be undertaken.	



6.	New Requests / Issues			
a)	Issue No: 12-20-6 Request for the introduction of measures to stop cyclists illegally using Salisbury Footpath 93	The Police are responsible for enforcement of the Prohibition of Cycling restriction. The originator of the request has requested that the following measures be considered at this location: To erect signs which are more visible to cyclists To erect worded 'no cycling' signs Install metal barriers which force the cyclists to off their bikes and push them along the path	and felt that none of the measures requested were appropriate but that the provision of bollards at specific locations within the footpath to control cyclists position and make them more visible to	PS
b)	Issue No: 12-20-7 Request for the provision of shared use path signs on the section of footway in Odstock Road / Coombe Road running from Woodbury Gardens to Harnham Gyratory	The group is to consider this request. The section of footway in question is already considered to be a shared use path by Wiltshire Council and is shown as such on the council's cycle network plans. However, no signs indicating that it is a shared use path have ever been provided. The estimated cost of providing the required signage to indicate that the length of footway in question is a shared use path is £505.	allocation of £505 to allow this	PS



c) Issue No: 12-21-1

Request for the improvements to the area under Fisherton Street Railway Bridge

The originator of the request has requested that the following measures be considered at this location:

- The introduction of lighting under the bridge
- The widening of the footway on the eastern side
- If widening is not possible, the eastern footway should be repaired, and the railings painted

There is already lighting under the railway bridge but likely could be improved. The footways on either side of the road cannot be widened whilst Fisherton Street and South Western Road serve as the main access to the Churchfields Industrial Estate for HGVs. Whilst the footways under the railway bridge are in poor cosmetic condition (particularly the structure that retains the raised footway) the areas that pedestrians are required to walk in have no defects that would met the council's criteria for intervention based on the council's Highway Inspection Manual. Repairing the railings is a maintenance issue and as doing so is not safety related undertaking these works would be added to the list of works that would only be taken forward as and when funding permitted.

As members of the group are likely aware the council is currently developing proposals to improve Fisherton Street using funding allocated to it through the Future High Street Fund. Initial proposals included making improvements to the area under the railway bridge and any significant improvements to that area would only likely to come forward as part of that project. It is suggested that this request is forwarded to the team looking after the Fisherton Street project to make them aware of it and ask that the proposals developed for Fisherton Street include making improvements to the area under the railway bridge.

The group shared the view that the area under Fisherton Street Railway Bridge provided a poor gateway into the City Centre and was in of improvement.

The group noted that there was a project currently in development looking at enhancing the Fisherton Street area and agreed the request be forwarded to the team looking after that project and that they are asked that the proposals developed for Fisherton Street include making improvements to the area under the railway bridge.

The group asked that the originator of this issue updated accordingly and advised that any issues not addressed through the Fisherton Street project can be reraised via the CATG in the future. The group asked that the issue is then closed.

PS



d)	Request for the introduction of a dropped kerb at the Ancient Way end of the footway between Woodbury Gardens and Ancient Way	The section of footway in question is shown as a shared use path on the council's cycle network plans. However, there isn't a dropped kerb at the Ancient Way end of the path to allow cyclists to re-join the carriageway. It should also be noted that there are no signs in place indicating that the footway is a shared use path.	• •	PS
		The estimated cost of providing the dropped kerb and the signage to indicate that the length of footway in question is a shared use path is £1900.		
7.	Closed Items			
a)	Issue No: 12-20-1 The introduction of a give way marking at the junction of Hamilton Road and Marlborough Road	The introduction of the give way marking was undertaken as part of the works to resurface Marlborough Road during the week commencing the 0102/21. The work was undertaken at no cost to the CATG. Discussions with Royal Mail about relocating the post box at the junction of Hamilton Road and Marlborough Road have taken place. Royal Mail have said that they would be prepared to remove the post box but not relocate it. In consideration of these options the local Wiltshire Council ward member has requested that the post box remain in position. All works have been completed and the issue has been closed.	That the Area Board notes the update.	PS



8.	Other Items		
a)	Highway Maintenance Update	GX advised that carriageway resurfacing works had recently been completed in Marlborough Road, and would be undertaken in Cherry Orchard Lane, Hedley Davis Court and Blue Boar Row before the end of the current financial year. GX advised that subject to confirmation of the highway's maintenance budget for the 2021/2022 financial year the following works are provisionally programmed to take place: Carriageway Schemes: C368 Churchfields Road, New Canal, A354 Coombe Road (Odstock Road to Andrews Way), A354 Coombe Road (Harnham Gyratory to Odstock Road), Faraday Road, St Francis Road, Longland Devizes Road (may require phasing due to funding), Centurion Close, Herbert Road, Ivy Street, Endless Street (Outstanding area delayed because of McCarthy & Stone Development) Footway Schemes: Burford Avenue, Downton Road, Shakespeare Road, Stratford	GX
9.	Date of Next Meeting:	Road (Slurry Seal). 8 th June 2021	



1. Environmental & Community Implications

1.1. Environmental and community implications were considered by the CATG during their deliberations. The funding of projects will contribute to the continuance and/or improvement of environmental, social and community wellbeing in the community area, the extent and specifics of which will be dependent upon the individual project.

2. Financial Implications

- 2.1. All decisions must fall within the Highways funding allocated to Salisbury Area Board.
- 2.2. If funding is allocated in line with CATG recommendations outlined in this report, and all relevant 3rd party contributions are confirmed, Salisbury Area Board will have a remaining Highways funding balance of £0 in the CATG allocation available for the 2020/2021 financial year.

3. Legal Implications

3.1. There are no specific legal implications related to this report.

4. HR Implications

4.1. There are no specific HR implications related to this report.

5. Equality and Inclusion Implications

5.1 The schemes recommended to the Area Board will improve road safety for all users of the highway.

6. Safeguarding implications

There are no specific safeguarding implications related to this report.